

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/069,175	d	02/21/2002	Chikaumi Yokoyma	55037US005	1652
32692	7590	06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE I	PROPERTIES CO	MPANY	LAZOR, MI	CHELLE A
PO BOX 3342		12 2427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				1734	·

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ih

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
t_{r}	10/069,175	YOKOYMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle A Lazor	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin it apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	→		
/ 	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D), 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	s have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/069,175

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hatori et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6156433).

Hatori et al. disclose a method which comprises the steps of providing a mold having a concave portion via transparent electrodes (952) and comprising an un-reacted photo-setting component or photosensitive black conductive paste layer (914); disposing a photo-settable rib precursor composition or photosensitive conductive paste layer (923) between a base or transfer support (922) and the concave portion of the mold; irradiating one or more selected areas of the mold, including a periphery of the mold, through a mask (M) to react the rib precursor with the un-reacted photo-setting component of the mold, thereby adhering the rib-precursor to the mold

Art Unit: 1734

in the selected areas; setting the remaining rib precursor not residing within the selected areas by not reacting with the light; and removing the mold and the rib precursor in the selected areas adhered to the mold (Figures 19A – 19C; column 23, line 39 – column 24, line 10). Thus Hatori et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 1, 4, and 5, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. There was no reference in the prior art search that disclosed, taught, or suggested the step of setting the remaining rib precursor comprises irradiating using a second wavelength that is no longer than a first wavelength used to irradiate the selected areas of the mold. Although it is well known to use different wavelengths dependent on the specific composition to be cured, there is no motivation to use two different wavelengths for the same composition.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 571-272-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Wed 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,175

Art Unit: 1734

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAL 5/24/04

Muntle Havets In

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER